

DDDG Technical Subgroup Meeting 07

28 April 2026 at 11:30 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Attendees	
Ahna Taylor [AT]	SSE
Ahna Taylor [AT]	SSE
Chris Barker [CBa]	ENWL
Chris Berry [CBe]	SE First
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Ed Grimsey [EG]	BUUK
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo Networks
Georgia Preece [GP]	NPg
Grae Davidson [GD]	Unknown
James Dorsey [JD]	Urban Chain
Joshua McGarry [JM]	SE First
Lilly Stanislas [LS]	Ecotricity
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
Rob Taylor [RT]	Smartest Energy
Sanjeev Kumar [SK]	EON
Seun Adedapo [SA]	NGED
Tom Jenkins [TJ]	Indigo Networks
Code Administrator	
Furqan Aziz [FA]	Chair
Hannah Proffitt [HP]	Secretariat
Apologies	

Laura Waldron [LW]

Engie

1. Administration

Recording

- 1.1 The Chair reminded members that this meeting is recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

Minutes of the Previous Meeting

- 1.2 No comments were raised.
- 1.3 The slides presented are included as **Attachment 1**.

2. Recap & Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair provided an overview of the centralised tariff dashboard project, outlining the current status, recent board approvals, the MVP scope, and the project timeline.
- 2.2 The Chair advised that the project received Minimum Viable Product (MVP) scope sign-off at the March DCUSA Board meeting. The Chair noted that the build is scheduled to start in May, with an initial MVP launch for the subgroup, targeted for June or July. The subgroup will conduct initial testing, and after resolving any issues, the dashboard will be released to a wider audience, aiming for late July or early August.
- 2.3 The Chair highlighted that following a successful MVP launch, phase two will introduce additional features. The Chair noted that this will also require DCUSA Board sign off.
- 2.4 The Chair advised that the team have begun extracting and uploading data from DNO and IDNO websites, with four network operator data points already uploaded. This process is expected to continue for several weeks before the dashboard build commences. The timeline is summarised below.

2026	
March	Board sign-off on MVP scope
April	Initial Extraction & build
May / Jun	MVP launch
June / Jul	User testing (via technical subgroup)
Jul / Aug	MVP wider-release
Aug / Sep	Start Phase 2

- 2.5 The Chair advised that the purpose of the meeting is to review the user stories and acceptance criteria to enable members to provide any feedback. The Chair noted that they would also review the feedback received on the guidance document discussed at previous meetings.

3. Review JIRA Board

- 3.1 The Chair described the agile methodology used, where user stories are written from the user's perspective and acceptance criteria serve as a checklist. The Chair noted that there were six JIRA tickets to be reviewed at the meeting. Discussions/decisions are summarised below.

Ticket 1273 – Display Tariff Data Table – As a DCUSA user I want to view CDCM tariff data in a clear and consistent table on the dashboard so that I can access network charging rates across all regions without having to interpret multiple spreadsheets.

- 3.2 Members agreed to add 'Excess capacity' to the tariff rate fields.
- 3.3 Members agreed to clarify that unit rates could also be black, yellow and green, in addition to RAG. Members noted that at the previous meeting they had agreed to name these unit 1, unit 2 and unit 3 which should cover this. The Chair added a note to the ticket to discuss this with the developer.
- 3.4 SK noted that currently there is a column for closed LLFCs and asked if this will be included. The Chair added LLFC and closed LLFCs to the identification columns. Members agreed for these to be included as 'LLFC/DUoS Tariff ID' to future proof.
- 3.5 JM asked if the tariff name will also be included as an identifier. The Chair agreed it will but added it to the acceptance criteria.
- 3.6 PW questioned whether the 'last updated' date would display the last published date, or when it was last updated in the system. The Chair agreed to clarify that this should be the publication date.
- 3.7 GD raised the possible scenario that the latest version published may not have any changes to Annex 1, just changes to other Annexes that are not included in the dashboard. GD noted that they need to ensure the date reflects when the latest Annex 1 was published rather than the latest version itself. The Chair agreed and added a note to the ticket that this will need to be considered.
- 3.8 AT suggested it would also be useful to have the date of the latest version, even if annex 1 has not changed. The Chair added a note to the ticket that this would need further consideration.
- 3.9 PW asked if time bands were going to be included. The Chair advised that these are not in the MVP but have been included in the list for Phase 2.
- 3.10 RT highlighted data integrity in relation to LLFCs, asking if there would be a standardised process for how these will be handled. RT highlighted that leading zeros are currently a significant issue. The Chair added a note to the ticket to ensure this is considered and understood.
- 3.11 CBa suggested that, if the data could be fed in directly from Durabill, this would help with data standardisation. CO noted that IDNOs use at least one other billing system, possibly more, and that feeding in from multiple systems would be complicated.
- 3.12 The Chair noted that improving the data source and how it is managed is out of scope of the MVP but could be considered in future.

- 3.13 Members raised the example of Slough Heating & Power, noting that this IDNO is difficult to identify as they do not have a unique DNO region like other IDNOs and operate under Southern (area 20). The dashboard example includes GSP and LDNO which was agreed would be the DNO region. Members noted that for Slough Heating & Power to be identifiable, the dashboard must include LDNO name.

Ticket 1279 – CSV Download - As a DCUSA user, I want to download the tariff data currently displayed on the dashboard so that I can use the data in my own systems and analysis.

- 3.14 SK asked if the download will be one file at a time, or whether it would be possible to download a zip file. The Chair advised that in the MVP, the download will be one file, including whatever data is showing in the table.

- 3.15 CBe advised it would be useful to be able to download all 14 regions, rather than having to do one at a time. The Chair noted that within the filters, there will be an option to show all and then download that. The Chair noted that this is under a different ticket that will be reviewed later in the meeting.

Ticket 1275 – LLFC Toggle – As a DCUSA user, I want to toggle the display of the LLFC on the tariff table, so that I can choose whether to view aggregated tariff rates or the full LLFC-level breakdown.

- 3.16 JD questioned the value of viewing the aggregated rate as it is meaningless without the LLFC. RT argued that they would find the toggle useful due to how some structures are set up. Several other members also agreed that they would find the toggle useful.

- 3.17 GD asked whether there would be a similar toggle for Profile Class. The Chair agreed to add a ticket for this. Members discussed how this would show the data, and agreed that a detailed view, where every combination is shown could be beneficial as all parties' systems are likely to be set up differently.

- 3.18 Members discussed the data quality, highlighting examples of issues with the data provided in column K of Annex 1 (Closed LLFC/DUoS Tariff Id). The Chair advised that this is out of scope, and that the dashboard will need to show the data that has been published by the DNOs, even if that data is incorrect.

- 3.19 CO noted that as a DNO, they spend a lot of time ensuring their data is accurate. CO suggested that this should be covered in the guidance document that is to be discussed later on the agenda. AT agreed that the dashboard may make any duplicates/issues in the data more visible, and that this could be addressed through a process outlined in the guidance document. AT outlined that this could lead to the data improving over time.

Ticket 1278 – LDNO Filter – As a DCUSA user, I want to filter the tariff table by LDNO, so that I can view tariff rates specific to a licensed DNO or IDNO within a GSP region.

- 3.20 CBe asked how this would be displayed on the table. The Chair clarified that there would be a column for LDNO, which would be displayed even if only one was selected.

- 3.21 AT asked whether this would enable the user to select all DNOs and download the data. The Chair confirmed it would.

- 3.22 RT highlighted that some DNOs operate in multiple regions and asked if there would be a way to filter that to specific GSP regions. The Chair confirmed there is a GSP filter.

- 3.23 GD asked if there will be a column for year and a year filter. The Chair confirmed there will be.

- 3.24 JD noted that you can identify Distributors by the two digit Distributor ID or the four letter Market Participant ID and asked which will be used. The Chair agreed that this would be decided in future discussions.

Ticket 1276 – GSP Filter – As a DCUSA user, I want to filter tariff data by GSP, region number or DNO, so that I can find the relevant tariff data whether I know the GSP identifier, the region or the DNO.

- 3.25 PW questioned what is meant by region and whether this is different to GSP. RT suggested that these terms should follow the naming conventions used elsewhere in industry.
- 3.26 To ensure clarity, members agreed for this ticket to be updated to only include the GSP filter. The Chair updated the ticket to make sure the ticket is clear for the developers.
- 3.27 The Chair questioned whether the GSP, the region and the DNO would need to be visible together. RT noted that the only instance that all three could be needed is for Slough Heating & Power which was discussed earlier in the meeting.
- 3.28 Members discussed how IDNOs should be identified in the table, and agreed they should use the Distributor ID.
- 3.29 The Chair advised that they would ask the developers to start working on the tickets that had been discussed at the meeting, and that the remaining tickets will be reviewed at the next meeting.

4. Guidance Document Feedback

- 4.1 This will be discussed at the next meeting.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 The Chair asked members whether there was any other business, to which nothing was raised.

Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DDDG TSG Meeting 07 Slides

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
	-		

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
06/01	Regarding the guidance document content: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The Chair to review previous minutes to ensure all standardisation issues raised have been included, and- The Secretariat to circulate a paper to members for final review/feedback ahead of sending to CO.	The Chair & Secretariat	Action closed. <i>Complete.</i>